Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission

Ranchi Form of Proceedings Case 04 of 2025

Jindal Steel & Power Ltd. Petitioner Versus Respondent

Damodar Valley Corporation

:

:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. MAHENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (LAW) HON'BLE MR. ATUL KUMAR, MEMBER (TECH)

For the Petitioner

Advocate

For the Respondent

Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Sr. Advocate and Mr. P.A.S. Parti,

3 ndrajit Sinha, Learned Sr. Counsel appeared for the and Mr. Ajit Kumar, Learned Sr. Counsel appeared bondent. ned Sr. Counsel for the Petitioner submitted and a clarification that norm 41 (a) of the Tariff Order	with date 4
and Mr. Ajit Kumar, Learned Sr. Counsel appeared bondent. and Sr. Counsel for the Petitioner submitted and	
a clarification that para 41 (a) of the Tariff Order 2.2024 does not direct any consequential action of cept reporting the consumer-wise principal amount ded and recovered post implementation of the said r and para 41 (C) only permits the recovery strictly ace with law. Need Sr. Counsel for the Respondent objected on the ility of the case and submitted that the case filed by er is a billing dispute, as such the Petitioner may be approach Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum of ituted under section 42 (5) of the Electricity Act, d both the parties and perused the materials n records. Commission hereby affirms that the Tariff Order 2.2024 has been issued in consonance with the 10.05.2010 passed by the Hon'ble APTEL in appeal f 2009, as such this Commission's order dated	
	cept reporting the consumer-wise principal amount ded and recovered post implementation of the said and para 41 (C) only permits the recovery strictly ce with law. ed Sr. Counsel for the Respondent objected on the ility of the case and submitted that the case filed by er is a billing dispute, as such the Petitioner may be approach Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum of tuted under section 42 (5) of the Electricity Act, 4 both the parties and perused the materials a records. Commission hereby affirms that the Tariff Order 2.2024 has been issued in consonance with the

	order dated 10.05.2010.		
	Further, the Commission noted that Prima		
	of the Petitioner appears to be	a billing dispute and as per	
	clause 8.2.2 of the Jharkhand	State Electricity Regulatory	
	Commission (Electricity Supply	y Code) Regulations, 2015	
	disputes related to the demand	note may be referred to the	
	Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF) for adjudication, as such the Petitioner is at the liberty to file its representation		
	before the appropriate forum.		
	In view of the above observation and findings, the case is		
	not maintainable and accordingly dismissed herewith.		
	It is hereby also clarified that the Electric connection of the Petitioner shall not be disconnected by the Respondent-		
	DVC till the Petitioner files	its application before the	
	appropriate forum.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	Member (T)	Member (L)	
	<u> </u>		