



# झारखण्ड राज्य विद्युत नियामक आयोग

## JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

JSERC/Case (Tariff) No.07 of 2024/388

Date: 16<sup>th</sup> October 2024

To

Shri Arun Kumar  
General Manager  
State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC), JUSNL  
JUSNL, HQ, Kusai Colony  
Doranda, Ranchi – 834002.

Sub: Additional data requirement pertaining to deficiencies observed in the Petition for Truing up for FY 2020-21, FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, APR for FY 2023-24 & ARR and SLDC Charges for FY 2024-25 of State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC) – Regarding thereof.

Sir,

Please find enclosed a copy of Order dated 14.10.2024 passed by the Commission in Case (Tariff) No. 07 of 2024 along with office report dated 14.10.2023 pointing out some discrepancies in the petition as cited.

A compliance report to this effect removing the discrepancies together with required additional data may please be sent within two weeks as order.

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully,

  
(R. P. Nayak)  
Secretary



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PH.D. THESIS

BY

THE AUTHOR

CHICAGO, ILL.

1950

**JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
RANCHI  
FORM OF PROCEEDING**

Case (Tariff) No. 07 of 2024

State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC)

.....

Petitioner

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Proceedings of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
2.	14.10.2024	<p>The petition dated 30.09.2023 for Truing up for FY 2020-21, FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, APR for FY 2023-24 &amp; ARR and SLDC Charges for FY 2024-25 of the petitioner - SLDC has been scrutinized and several deficiencies/ discrepancies have been pointed out as shown in the office report dated 14.10.2024.</p> <p>The petitioner is directed to meet the deficiency and remove the defects within two weeks.</p> <p>Put on receipt of replies.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member (T)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member (L)</p>	



**Office Report**

The petition dated 30.09.2023 for Truing up for FY 2020-21, FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, APR for FY 2023-24 & ARR and SLDC Charges for FY 2024-25 of the petitioner - SLDC has been scrutinized and several deficiencies/ discrepancies have been found in the petition as indicated below:

**Note on Discrepancies/ Data gaps in respect of the Petition for Audited True-up of FY 2020-21, FY 2021-22 , FY 2022-23 APR for FY 2023-24, and ARR for FY 2024-25 and SLDC charges for State Load Despatch Centre, Jharkhand.**

**True-up of FY 2020-21**

1. As per para 3.4.3 the Petitioner has claimed Employee Cost of Rs.4.09 Cr whereas as mentioned under Note 23 of consolidated audited accounts provided the Employee benefit expense is Rs.67.80 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.4.09 Cr such as salaries, wages, incentives, terminal benefits etc.
2. As per para 3.4.6 of the petition, the Petitioner has claimed the Administrative and General Expense as Rs 0.11 Cr whereas as mentioned under note 25 of the consolidated audit accounts the A&G cost is Rs.10.24 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.0.11 Cr.
3. As per para 3.5.4 the Petitioner has claimed depreciation of amount Rs.0.11 Cr. In this regard the Petitioner is directed to provide detailed calculation of depreciation along with percentage for the category of asset considered.
4. As per para 3.7.2 the Petitioner has claimed Non-Tariff Income to the tune of Rs.0.04 Cr. As such the Petitioner is required to provide detailed break up considering the different heads pertaining to Non-Tariff Income.

**True-up of FY 2021-22**

1. As per para 4.4.3 the Petitioner has claimed Employee Cost of Rs.5.24 Cr whereas as mentioned under Note 23 of consolidated audited accounts provided the Employee benefit expense is Rs.90.47 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.5.24 Cr such as salaries, wages, incentives,

terminal benefits etc. Similarly, the details of terminal benefits along with supporting proof need to be submitted.

2. As per para 4.4.6 of the petition, the Petitioner has claimed the Administrative and General Expense as Rs 0.10 Cr whereas as mentioned under note 25 of the consolidated audit accounts the A&G cost is Rs.11.43 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.0.10 Cr.
3. As per para 4.5.4 the Petitioner has claimed depreciation of amount Rs.0.11 Cr. In this regard the Petitioner is directed to provide detailed calculation of depreciation along with percentage for the category of asset considered.
4. As per para 4.7.2 the Petitioner has claimed Non-Tariff Income to the tune of Rs.0.03 Cr. As such the Petitioner is required to provide detailed break up considering the different heads pertaining to Non-Tariff Income.

### **True-up of FY 2022-23**

1. As per para 5.4.3 the Petitioner has claimed Employee Cost of Rs.5.16 Cr As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.5.16 Cr such as salaries, wages, incentives, terminal benefits etc. Similarly, the details of terminal benefits along with supporting proof need to be submitted.
2. As per para 5.4.6 of the petition, the Petitioner has claimed the Administrative and General Expense as Rs 0.99 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide supporting document with detail break-up of the claimed cost of Rs.0.99 Cr.
3. As per para 5.5.4 the Petitioner has claimed depreciation of amount Rs.0.11 Cr. In this regard the Petitioner is directed to provide detailed calculation of depreciation along with percentage for the category of asset considered.
4. As per para 5.7.2 the Petitioner has claimed Non-Tariff Income to the tune of Rs.0.11 Cr. As such the Petitioner is required to provide detailed break up considering the different heads pertaining to Non-Tariff Income.

### **Annual Performance Review for FY 2023-24**

1. As per para 6.3.4 the Petitioner has projected employee cost for FY 2023-24 by escalating the values of FY 2022-23 by an escalation factor of 6.37%. The petitioner is directed to provide detailed calculation of the same in Excel format. Similarly, the details of terminal benefits along with supporting proof need to be submitted.
2. As per para 6.3.7 the Petitioner has projected Administrative & General (A&G) for FY 2023-24 by escalating the values of FY 202-23 by an escalation factor of 6.37%. The petitioner is directed to provide detailed calculation of the same in Excel format. Similarly, the details of terminal benefits along with supporting proof need to be submitted

3. As per para 6.4.4 the Petitioner has projected depreciation for an amount of Rs.0.09 Cr. As such the Petitioner is directed to provide asset wise depreciation details with respective depreciation rates on the category of assets as applicable.
4. As per para 6.6.2 the Petitioner has considered a projection of 5% increase for the Non-Tariff Income for FY 2023-24. The Petitioner is directed to clarify the basis for such consideration.

**ARR for FY 2024-25**

1. As per para 7.2.2 the Petitioner has projected details of Capital Expenditure and Capitalization Scheduled for the FY 2024-25 & FY 2025-26, for which the Petitioner has planned to invest an amount to Rs.31.52 Cr during the control period. The Petitioner is directed to provide cost benefit analysis of the various schemes/projects it has considered and how it would be beneficial considering the current scenario.
2. As per Table 45 under clause 7.5.6 the Petitioner has projected terminal benefits of amount Rs.0.36 Cr for FY 2024-25. The Petitioner is directed to provide basis for considering the projected amount.
3. As per para 7.5.9 the Petitioner has considered an inflation factor of 6.37% for arriving at the A&G expense. In this regard the Petitioner is directed to provide details basis of considering the escalation factor of 6.37 %.
4. As per para 8.3 determination of SLDC charges the Petitioner has mentioned about the charges that SLDC would levy on the utilities/parties availing the intra state transmission of electricity which is considered a revenue source for the Petitioner. However, the Petitioner has not considered the same either in True Up of FY 2020-21, APR for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 and APR of FY 2023-24. The Petitioner is directed to provide justification on the same.

  
Secretary