

## A9: STATUS OF EARLIER DIRECTIVES

Directives as per TO 2005-06	Status	Views of the Commission
<p>Separation of accounts: Directive to the petitioner to separate the accounts of its Power Business Division from any other Business including Steel Works within six months from the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The petitioner shall also make appropriate arrangements to treat Steel Works as a consumer and propose a corresponding tariff for the same within six months of the issue of this order</p>	<p>The Book of Accounts for the Power Distribution Business has been separated in a manner maximum practicable to separate form its other business and same has been submitted the Commission</p> <p>With respect to treating Steel Works as a consumer and submission of tariff proposal , this matter is pending with Hon'ble Supreme court of India</p>	<p>Petitioner has not complied with the directive. The Commission Directs the petitioner to comply with the directive within six months of the issue of this order.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to attain prior approval of the Commission for any new agreement entered into by the petitioner for purchase of power from DVC or any other source.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that it has been purchasing power from DVC as per existing agreement and no new agreement has been entered into by the Tata Steel with DVC. However on 31st July 2008, contract demand was increased from 85 MVA to 120MVA through a Supplementary Power Supply Agreement. Tata Steel has submitted that whenever it enters into any new agreement , it will approach the Hon'ble Commission</p>	<p>Petitioner has complied with the directive.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner that energy scheduled from different sources shall strictly adhere to the principle of merit order based on variable cost.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that it has been scheduling power from primarily two sources –Tata Power Limited &amp; DVC based on the Merit Order considering the technical limitations of the system</p>	<p>Petitioner has complied with the directive.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to undertake cost of supply study and submit the progress in this regard to the Commission in 6 months from the date of issue of this order.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that Cost of supply of electricity is worked out by allocating the relevant losses, all expenses and reasonable return. At present these figures have been allocated based on certain assumptions while evaluation of cost of supply</p>	<p>Petitioner has partially complied with the directive. The Commission directs the petitioner to conduct the cost of supply study for each category within one year of the issue of this order and submit it to the Commission for review and finalization. The Petitioner should also submit the scope of work and the methodology to be followed for conducting the CoS Study within one month of the issue of this order.</p>

Directives as per TO 2005-06	Status	Views of the Commission
<p>The Commission approved one-time expenditure of Rs. 2 Cr towards energy audit and GIS mapping proposed to be undertaken by the petitioner in FY 2005-06 as a part of Administration and General expenses. In this regard, the Commission directed the petitioner to submit the progress made and the status report every quarter starting April-June 2006.</p>	<p>The petitioner submitted that it had incurred Rs. 1.55 Cr expenditure on account of Energy Audit &amp; GIS mapping in FY 2007-08</p>	<p>The petitioner has not submitted the progress made and the status report every quarter for the expenditure incurred on account of Energy audit &amp; GIS mapping. The Commission directs the petitioner to submit the progress report within one month of the issue of this order. The quarterly reports should be submitted henceforth within the first week of the end of each quarter</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to collect and maintain data on category wise and slab wise connected load for all consumer categories.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that it had started collecting data for connected load from all new consumers before giving them connections. Moreover in the ARR petition for FY2009-10, it has submitted category wise contract demand for FY2008-09.</p>	<p>Petitioner has partially complied with the directive. The Commission directs the petitioner to submit quarterly report on the same henceforth within the first week of the end of each quarter.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to collect information on the demand from various consumer categories at different times of the day as well as on consumption of energy during these intervals. The petitioner should also undertake a study to estimate the cost implications of metering at sub-station level and consumer level to be able to effectively implement ToU tariff regime.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that majority of its consumption is accounted by HT-1, HT-2 &amp; HT-3 category and it has installed appropriate meters to capture their usage pattern. Furthermore, petitioner has submitted that being continuous process industrial consumers, these industries operate in three shifts and consumption pattern is mostly guided by their production scheduling and it had found no correlation between time of day and energy usages for these consumers</p>	<p>Petitioner has not submitted the information captured on the demand from various consumer categories and their usage pattern at different times of day to the Commission.</p> <p>Petitioner has not undertaken study to estimate cost implications of metering at sub-station level and consumer level to be able to effectively implement ToU tariff regime. The Commission directs the petitioner to submit the report three months of the issue of this order.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to collect and submit data on the revenue collected from minimum consumption charge for the various categories.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that it has duly accounted the minimum consumption charges for the various categories in the ARR petition for FY2009-10</p>	<p>Petitioner has complied with the directive.</p>
<p>Directive to the petitioner to submit its capital investment plans to be undertaken in future along with their cost benefit analysis for the approval of the Commission.</p>	<p>Petitioner has submitted that capital investment plans were duly submitted in ARR petition for FY 2008-09 and likewise investments were made. For FY2009-10, the ARR petition contains capital expenditure for Commission's</p>	<p>Petitioner has not complied with the directive to submit the cost benefit analysis of the investment plans submitted in the petition. A fresh direct is given in the New directives section of this order.</p>

Directives as per TO 2005-06	Status	Views of the Commission
	approval	
<p>The Commission had allowed a revenue surplus of Rs 23.51 Cr. The Licensee should approach the Commission with justification for its utilization.</p>	<p>The petitioner has submitted that though the Commission had forecasted a revenue surplus of Rs. 23.51 Cr in its tariff order; but the actual surplus recorded was only Rs 15.98 Cr for the period FY2005-06 &amp; 2006-07. This revenue surplus has been duly accounted in evaluation of cumulative revenue gap at the end on FY2009-10 and Commission is requested to give a adequate tariff hike to cover the proposed revenue gap</p>	<p>Petitioner has complied with the directive.</p>