

**IN THE JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY
COMMISSION AT RANCHI**

Case No. 34 of 2024

M/s. Honeysip Nuriment Private Ltd.Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited & Ors. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. MAHENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (LAW)
HON'BLE MR. ATUL KUMAR, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhananjay Kr. Pathak & Mr. Harsh Chandra,
Advocates

For the Respondent : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Sr. Std. Counsel, JBVNL

Date – 27th February, 2025

1. The instant petition has been filed by M/s Honeysip Nutriment Private Limited (hereinafter referred to as the petitioner) under clause 4.7 of the (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 for a direction upon the Respondent-JBVNL to enhance the load of the petitioner from 400 KVA to 750KVA HT connection and for approval of taking H.T. connection at supply voltage of 33KV for contract demand of 750 KVA.
2. The Petitioner in its petition has prayed for the following relief:
 - i) For a direction upon the Respondent to enhance the contract load of the petitioner company from 400 KVA to 750 KVA and for approval of taking H.T. connection at supply voltage of 33KV for contract demand of 750 KVA.
 - ii) For grant of any other order(s) as may be deem fit in the interest of Justice.

Submission of the Petitioner

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the petitioner unit is beneficial for local farming community by & large as this is a labour intensive work and which in turn is generating manifold employment in directly and which will lead to overall economic development of Rural Areas.
4. It was submitted that the Petitioner Company signed a H.T. agreement with JBVNL on 20.12.2022 for taking electrical connection on 11 KV line for contract load of 400 KVA through single window system. Thereafter, due to extension of work in the Company, the petitioner company applied for extension of load from 400 KVA to 750 KVA on supply voltage of 33 KV instead of 11 KV due to poor power quality.

5. It was submitted that the project site of the petitioner company is located in the village area of Ranchi District having problems of irregular quality of Electric supply and since the work of the petitioner company is highly specialized and technical therefore the company needs uninterrupted power and any interruption in power supply will seriously damage the entire plant.
6. It was submitted that the 33KV line of JBVNL is crossing near to the gate of the proposed project of the petitioner company and therefore no extra capital investment requires to be made by JBVNL and the petitioner company is also ready to bear the installation cost for providing power at 33 KV. It was pointed out that the petitioner company will day to day increase his activities and its contract demand will definitely increase in 1 or 2 years upto 1500 KVA or more
7. It was submitted and prayed that the respondent JBVNL may not have any difficulty in allowing the HT service connection to the petitioner at supply voltage of 33KV and for enhancing contract demand of the petitioner from 400 KVA to 750 KVA. Further, it was also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to forgo the benefit of voltage rebate corresponding to 33 KV voltage supply as per the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.

Submission of the Respondent

8. Learned Counsel for the Respondent submitted that petitioner's Company is situated in the rural area and the power is being supplied to the petitioner company through 11 KV Jonha Feeder which covers the villages located under forest area. Further, they submitted that the Feeder length is approx. 75 to 80 KM and it covers the villages located under Forest area and so being a very long Feeder in forest area some time and in rainy season power supply get disturbed.
9. It was further submitted that 33 KV Silli Feeder is crossing near to the petitioner company and if power supply will be provided to the company through 33 KV supply voltage, it will surely improve the quality power supply to the petitioner company. Further, they pointed out that in order to supply power to the petitioner on 33 KV supply voltage, it will need some extension of 33 KV line which will be at petitioner's cost.
10. Learned Counsel in its conclusion submitted that the Commission may take decision as per norms for supplying power to the Petitioner Company at supply voltage level of 33KV with a contract demand of 750 KVA.

Commission Observation and Findings

11. The Commission considered the submission made by the parties and perused the materials available on records.

12. Clause 4.7 of the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 reads as under;

“ 4.7 The Distribution Licensee may, depending upon the technical conditions of the distribution system, give supply at a voltage and phase other than the classification of supply in clause 4.3 of these Regulations, subject to the Commission’s approval.”

13. The Commission observed that respondent does not have raised any objection giving the supply for connection at 33 KV supply system with extension of contract demand from 400 KVA to 750 KVA.

In the result, it is ordered as;

ORDER

14. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayers of the petitioner is hereby allowed. The respondent shall allow H.T. connection service to the petitioner at supply voltage of 33KV line with a contract demand of 750 KVA after ensuring proper arrangement of metering, billing and network system protection.
15. It is hereby also clarified as admitted that the petitioner shall not avail voltage rebate corresponding to 33KV voltage level as per JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
16. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is hereby disposed off.

Sd/-

Member (T)

Sd/-

Member (L)