

**IN THE JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
AT RANCHI**

Case No. 12 of 2022

M/s Satyug Foods Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited and Ors. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV KUMAR GUPTA (CHAIRPERSON)
HON'BLE MR. ATUL KUMAR, MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhananjay Pathak, Advocate

For the Respondent : Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate

Date- 20th December, 2022

1. The Petitioner - Satyug Foods Pvt. Ltd. has submitted an affidavit petition purported to be filed under clause 4.7 of JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 for getting supply of power at 33kV with a contract demand of 650 kVA in terms of the provisions contained under clause 4.7 of the Electricity Supply Code Regulation, 2015.
2. The Petitioner in its petition has prayed for the following reliefs:
 - I. To admit this application and approval for fresh electricity connection for supply of power at 33kV to the petitioner with a contract demand of 650kVA.
 - II. To pass such other order or orders, as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the interest of Justice.

The factual matrix of the case may be appreciated in the following manner:

3. It is submitted that the Petitioner company is registered as a Rice Mill Unit at Jodsole, Dhalbumgarh, and purchased a land measuring 7.47 acres and has set up a Rice mill on $\frac{1}{4}$ area of the total purchased land. It was further stated that the petitioner has planned to expand its units in 3 phases and after completion of the 3rd phase, the total requirement of power would be 2000kVA.
4. It is also submitted that the petitioner is installing machineries having high production capacity which are highly sophisticated and requires uninterrupted and consistent supply of power.
5. It is submitted that that the 11kV power supply is poor in the area where the unit is situated and due to tripping/power cuts/load shedding and power outage the unit of the petitioner will not be viable with 11Kv supply line, as such the petitioner is forced to take supply at higher voltage i.e. at 33kV and applied for a fresh electricity connection at 33kV with a contract demand of 650kVA in a duly prescribed online form on 31.05.2022 vide reference no. NC37603543HT and also deposited the required fee. It is also submitted that the petitioner is desirous to bear the necessary infrastructural cost and make proper arrangement of metering, billing, and network/system protection and submits that he will not take/claim rebate

which he would be entitled in terms of Clause 4.5 of the Supply code Regulation.

6. The Respondent has submitted that JBVNL is very much in condition to supply smooth and uninterrupted power to the Petitioner's Company. However, the petitioner Company has prayed for a fresh electrical connection at 33kV line which may be granted without allowing voltage rebate corresponding to 33kV voltage supply as per the Electricity Supply Code Regulations.
7. It is admitted by the Petitioner that he shall not claim voltage rebate for availing supply of power at 33kV with a contract demand of 650 kVA.

In the result, it is ordered as;

ORDER

8. The Prayer of the Petitioner is allowed. The Respondent may allow a new electricity connection at a voltage supply of 33 kV with a Contract demand of 650kVA after ensuring proper arrangement of metering, billing and network system protection.
9. It is also clarified as admitted that the Petitioner will not avail voltage rebate corresponding to 33 kV voltage level as per the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
10. Consequently, the Petition is disposed of in terms of the above order.

Sd/-

**(MR. ATUL KUMAR)
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Sd/-

**MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA
(CHAIRPERSON)**