IN THE JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION AT RANCHI

Case No. 09 of 2022

Green Valley Seas & Resorts Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and Ors Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI MAHENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (LAW) HON'BLE SHRI ATUL KUMAR, MEMBER (TECH)

For the Petitioner : Mr. Navin Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent : Mr. Mrinal Kanti Roy, Advocate and Mr. Subodh Jha,

GM/Rev-JBVNL

Date -20th September 2022

- 1. The instant petition has been brought by the Petitioner Green Valley Seas & Resorts purported to be filed under clause 4.7 of JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 seeking approval of this Commission for allowing it to take new service H.T. connection from the Respondent Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (JBVNL) at supply voltage of 33 KV having contract demand of 300KVA.
- 2. The Petitioner in its petition has prayed for the following reliefs:-
 - (i) To allow the present application by directing the respondent JBVNL to grant new service H.T. connection to the petitioner company at 33 KV for contract demand of 300 KVA in view of the provisions contained in clause 4.7 of the (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
 - (ii) Any other relief or reliefs as your honour may deem fit and proper for which the petitioner is very much entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.
- 3. The factual matrix of the case as submitted by the petitioner may be appreciated in the following manner.
 - i) That the petitioner is registered company intends to open a water park-cum-amusement park-cum-Resort at Mauza Manatu, Thana Ormanjhi, District Ranchi.
 - ii) That the Petitioner Company applied for new HT connection for load of 300KVA at supply voltage of 33 KV.
 - iii) That the uses of Electricity in Water park-cum-amusement park-cum Resort is too much and quality power is the basic requirement of the this industry and in the event of Power outage even a temporary one the results can mean loss of significant revenue and danger to the life of the participants at given point of time.
 - iv) That the 33KV Chutupalu line in which Electricity connection has been sought for is 400 mtrs away and is about 3km away from 11 KV power substation, chutupalu, Ormanjhi and the company will increase its activities day by day and after successful project implementation the contractdemand will certainly increase in 1 or 2 years upto 1500KVA or more.

4. Facts submitted by the Respondent

- That 33 KV line is crossing near to the proposed site of the Petitioner who intends to open a Water Park-cum-Amusement Park-cum- Resort over there, so, allowing power supply to the Petitioner appears to be feasible from existing 33 KV line through 33KV XLPE UG cable from tapping location upto the premises along with installation of VCB at the cost of the Petitioner along with proper energy accounting check meter.
- ii) That the Respondent has also submitted that it has no objection in the approval of the prayer of the petitioner for getting supply of power at 33KV with a contract demand of 300 KVA.
- iii) That the Respondent further submitted that the petitioner shall not claim for voltage rebate against availing supply at higher voltage and petitioner will bear all costs and all necessary charges which may incur in availing supply at 33KV.

In the result, it is ordered as:-

ORDER

- 5. The Prayers of the petitioners are allowed. The Respondent may allow new connection at voltage level of 33KV with a contract demand of 300 KVA after ensuring proper arrangement of metering, billing and network system protection. The cost incurred in development of infrastructure for availing power at 33 KV will be borne by the petitioner.
- 6. It is hereby clarified that the petitioner will not avail voltage rebate corresponding to 33KV voltage supply, as per the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
- 7. Accordingly, the Petition of the petitioner is disposed of in terms of the above order.

Sd/(Mahendra Prasad)
Member (L)

Sd/(Atul Kumar)
Member (T)