

**IN THE JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
AT RANCHI**

Case No. 28 of 2020

Sashanka Agrotech Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and Ors. Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. R.N. SINGH, MEMBER (ENGINEERING)
HON'BLE MR. P.K. SINGH, MEMBER (LEGAL)**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Navin Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate

Date -9th January, 2021

1. The Petitioner – M/s Sashanka Agrotech Pvt. Ltd. has submitted an affidavited petition purported to be filed under clause 4.7 of JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 seeking approval of the Commission for obtaining a new HT connection at supply voltage of 33 KV having 700 KVA contract demand from the Respondent – JBVNL.

2. The Petitioner in its petition has prayed for the following reliefs:-

- (i) An application under clause 4.7 of the (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 seeking necessary approval of this Hon'ble Commission to allow it to take new service H.T. Connection from respondent JBVNL at supply voltage of 33 KV having contract demand of 700 KVA.
- (ii) For grant of any appropriate other relief or reliefs deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.

The factual matrix of the case may be appreciated in the following manner.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner is registered company and will carry on research and development activities in agriculture, horticulture and sericulture, mushroom growing and lac production etc. The Petitioner company applied for new HT connection for load of 700 KVA at supply voltage of 33 KV.

4. It is submitted that the work of Petitioner company is highly specialized and technical therefore the company needs Uninterrupted power for

doing production at plant in climate control conditions and any interruption in power supply will seriously damage the entire project.

5. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that 33KV line is passing in front of the project site of the petitioner company and within a year or two, the load of the petitioner company certainly rise beyond 1500 KVA.
6. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Respondent there is no separate way available at Grid and also at the nearby PSS. However, 33KV line is crossing near to the gate of petitioner project location, so power supply to the petitioner may be feasible from existing 33 KV line through 33KV XLPE UG cable from tapping location upto the premises at the cost of the petitioner along with proper energy accounting check meter.
7. It is submitted by the Respondent that it has no objection in the approval of the prayer of the petitioner for getting supply of power at 33KV with a contract demand of 700 KVA.
8. It is agreed that the petitioner shall not claim for high voltage rebate against availing supply at higher voltage and petitioner will bear all costs and all necessary charges which may incur in availing supply at 33KV.

In the result, it is ordered as:-

ORDER

9. The Prayers of the petitioners are allowed. The Respondent may allow new connection at voltage supply of 33KV with a contract demand of 700 KVA after ensuring proper arrangement of metering, billing and network system protection.
10. It is hereby clarified that the petitioner will not avail voltage rebate corresponding to 33KV voltage supply until its contract demand reaches to the category of 33KV, as per the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
11. Accordingly, the Petition of the petitioner is disposed of in terms of the above order.

Sd/-
(P.K.Singh)
Member (Legal)

Sd/-
(R.N. Singh)
Member (Engg)