

**IN THE JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
AT RANCHI**

Case No. 16 of 2020

M/s Nuvoco Vistas Corporation Limited Petitioner

Versus

Tata Steel Utilities and Infrastructure Services Limited Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. R.N. SINGH, MEMBER (ENGINEERING)
HON'BLE MR. P.K. SINGH, MEMBER (LEGAL)**

For the Petitioner :Ms Richa Sanchita, Advocate
For the Respondent :Mr. V.P.Singh - Representative

ORDER

Date – 16th September, 2020

1. This petition has been filed by the Petitioner- M/s Nuvoco Vistas Corporation Limited under Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with A 4.7 of the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015 for grant of approval of load enhancement of the petitioner from existing 30 MVA to 46 MVA (HTIS – 33kV). The petitioner in its petition prayed for the following:

“ to approve the load enhancement of the petitioner (M/s Nuvoco Plant), Jamshedpur from existing 30 MVA to 46 MVA (HTIS – 33 kV) in phases comprising additional load of 4 MVA in the 1st Phase and 12 MVA in the 2nd Phase resulting in totality to 16 MVA (HTIS– 33kV) over and above the existing contract demand of 30 MVA.

Pass any order(s) which Hon'ble Commission deems fit in the interest of justice)

Brief facts of the case

It is submitted that the factual matrix of the case may be appreciated in the following manner: -

2. The petitioner has entered into a HT supply agreement with the Respondent-TSUISL on 01.05.2014 for the supply of the HT power having contract demand 30 MVA.
3. The petitioner has existing grinding capacity of 5.1 MT and has planned for the expansion of the project by enhancing the capacity by 1.5 MT and

the said enhancement requires the additional power of 16 MVA for the project. As such, the petitioner targets for the revised contract demand from 30 MVA to 46 MVA.

4. It was pointed out that the route length is only 1.5 Kms and there is an existing contract demand of 30 MVA and also the existing 2 incomers are not sufficient to cater the additional load, as such continuance on the same voltage can be done of HT 33kV for the new proposed enhanced demand of 46 MVA.
5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already made application before the respondents to which the respondents have replied vide its letter dated 26.02.2020 and clarified that as per “Electricity Supply Code Regulations Code 2015” any load beyond 20 MVA needs to be supplied at 132 kV voltage level unless the deviation is approved by this Commission and as such JSERC approval would be required for this load extension.
6. The representative of the Respondent submitted that letter dated 26.02.2020 was written in terms of the Clause 4.3 and 4.7 of the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015, since the contracted load exceeding 20000kVA is to be given at 132kV voltage level and any deviation is subject to the Commission’s approval. However it is submitted that there is no technical constraint in making load enhancement.
7. It was pointed out that in view of the letter dated 26.02.2020 of the Respondent and for enhancement of contract demand from 30 MVA to 46 MVA at 33 kV voltage level, the petitioner through this petition has prayed for grant of approval of load enhancement of the petitioner from existing 30 MVA to 46 MVA at 33kV voltage level.

Commission’s observation and findings

8. We have considered the submission made by both parties and perused the materials available on records of the case.
9. It was pointed out during the course of hearing that for load enhancement specific provision is provided under Clause 7.16 of the JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2015.
10. Clause 4.3 provides that supply shall be given at the given voltage on the basis of contracted load. Clause 4.7 lays down “The Distribution Licensee may, depending upon the technical conditions of the distribution, give supply at a voltage and phase other than the classification of supply in clause 4.3 and 4.4 of these regulations, subject to the Commission’s approval” Thus if the licensee is unable to provide supply at a voltage at the classifications given in clause 4.3 for technical

conditions, the licensee is required to take approval of the Commission. It is neither pleaded nor stated that any such exigency has arisen before the licensee.

11. Per contra, clause 7 of the Regulations deals with procedure for Modification in Existing Connections. Clause 7.16 deals with the procedure, if an application of load enhancement is brought before the licensee. This Clause is a complete code for the purpose, if a petition of load enhancement is brought before the licensee.
12. We are of the view that the instant petition of the petitioner was not required to be filed before this Commission.

ORDER

The petition of the petitioner is disposed off. The petitioner is at liberty to press its petition before the concerned licensee for load enhancement.

Sd/-
(P.K.Singh)
Member (Legal)

Sd/-
(R.N. Singh)
Member (Engg)