Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi Form of Proceedings

Case No. 07 of 2016

Steel Authority of India Limited (SAIL)	••••	Petitioner
Versus		
Jharkhand Renewable Energy Dev. Agency (JREDA)		Respondent

For the petitioner : Mr. Indrajit Sinha and Mr. Saket Upadhyay, Advocate

For the respondent : Mr. Rupesh Singh, Advocate

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
1 26	2 20.06.2018	Heard. In this case the petitioner-SAIL, Bokaro Steel Plant, Bokaro Steel City, distribution licensee, has filed a petition for granting exemption from Renewable Purchase Obligation for its power consumption. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is getting electricity from two sources – one from DVC and another from its Captive Power Plant. He submitted that since DVC is also a distribution licensee and the petitioner (SAIL, Bokaro Steel Plant, Bokaro Steel City) is purchasing electricity from DVC and for that portion of electricity consumption, fulfilling the Renewable Purchase Obligation is primarily rests with DVC. Therefore, the petitioner is not required to further meet the Renewable Purchase Obligation.	

As regards the Captive Power Plant, learned counsel submitted that in view of the prevailing law, he does not want to press for exemption from Renewable Purchase Obligation.

The representative of JREDA has not disputed the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

We agree with the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner (SAIL, Bokaro Steel Plant), Bokaro Steel City is exempted from complying with the Renewable Purchase Obligation for the part of the power consumption which the petitioner is purchasing from DVC to the extent that it has to be complied by the DVC.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-Member (E) Sd/-Chairperson