## Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi Form of Proceedings

## Case No. 28 of 2015

| M/s Ram Krishna Forgings Ltd.                                 |   | td  | Petitioner     |  |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|
|   |   | Versus  |                |  |
| Jharkhand Urja Vikash Nigam Limited (JUVNL) & Ors Respondents |   |   |                |  |
|   |   |   |                |  |
| For the petitioner  | : | Mr. M.S. Mittal, Sr. Advocate and Ms Shilpi J | John, Advocate |  |
| For the Deener dente  |   | Mr. Alit Krannen On Admonth                   |                |  |
| For the Respondents   | : | Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate                  |                |  |
|   |   | Mr. Navin Kumar and Mr. Amit Sinha, Advoc     | ates           |  |

| Sl.No         | Date of<br>Proceeding                                       | Proceeding of the Commission with signature             | Office<br>action<br>taken with |
|---------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
|               |   |   | date                           |
| 1             | 2   | 3   | 4                              |
| 12 12.08.2016 | An application has been filed on behalf of the              |   |                                |
|               | petitioner praying for permission to withdraw this case. It |   |                                |
|               |   | has been stated that the petitioner has decided to      |                                |
|               |   | challenge the order of the Managing Director, Jharkhand |                                |
|               |   | Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited before the High Court and in |                                |
|               | view thereof does not want to pursue this case.             |   |                                |
|               |   | Mr Naveen Kumar, learned counsel for the                |                                |
|               | respondents submitted that the proper forum for this        |   |                                |
|               | case is Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum and not the      |   |                                |
|               | High Court. However, he has no objection to the prayer      |   |                                |
|               | for withdrawal of this case.                                |   |                                |
|               | Considering the above, prayer made in the                   |   |                                |
|               | application is allowed. The case is disposed of as          |   |                                |
|               |   | withdrawn.  |                                |
|               | Sd/- Sd/-   |   |                                |
|               | Member (E) Chairperson                                      |   |                                |