Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi Form of Proceedings Case No. 26 of 2015.

Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd. (JUVNL) ... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Inland Power Ltd. and others Respondents.

Sl.No	Date of	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office
	Proceeding		action
			taken with
1			date
1	2		4
		For the Petitioner : M/s. Ajit Kumar, Navin Kumar	
		and Amit Sinha, Advocate.	
		For the Respondents: None.	
06.	03.03.2016.	It has been informed that the petitioner has preferred	
		an appeal, against the order sought to be reviewed, before	
		the Hon'ble APTEL.	
	Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that though appeal has been preferred		
		specific prayer regarding the part of the order sought to be	
		reviewed in this petition has not been made in the appeal.	
		Review petition is confined to a part of the impugned order	
		and the same can be heard and disposed of to that extent.	
		Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, we	
		are of the view that it will not be proper to allow two	
		parallel proceedings challenging the same order in piece-	
		meal before two different fora.	

If the petitioner has not made any such prayer in the		
appeal, he is at liberty to seek leave and add grounds as well		
as appropriate prayer in the appeal.		
With the above observation		
Sd/-	Sd/-	
Member (E)	Chairperson	