

**JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
RANCHI**

FORM OF PROCEEDINGS

Case No. 11 of 2011

Steel Authority of India Limited, Bokaro

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Proceedings of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
2.	05.03.2011	<p>The licensee-SAIL, Bokaro was directed by order dated 02.02.2011 to show cause as to why appropriate action should not be taken against the licensee-SAIL, Bokaro for violation of the Commission's directions/orders dated 4.1.2011 communicated to them vide letter No. 619 dated 4.1.2011, which was sent through FAX as well as courier.</p> <p>In compliance of the said order dated 02.2.2011 the licensee-SAIL, Bokaro has submitted its show-cause along with its letter No.DGM I/c(E)/2011-229 dated 3rd March 2011.</p> <p>Perused the show-cause.</p> <p>At the outset the licensee-SAIL, Bokaro has tendered its sincere apology for the alleged violation of the directives issued by the Commission. It has been stated that the SAIL is a law abiding company and it cannot ever think to disobey any order or direction of this Commission. The company has highest regard for the Commission and could never act deliberately and intentionally to disobey any direction of the</p>	

Commission. The deponent has explained in its show-cause the circumstances under which inadvertently the letter dated 4.1.2011 was sent to the Commission which they could realize after receipt of the show-cause notice. In view of this it has been prayed to accept the show-cause.

As regards the tariff petition it has been stated that the licensee-SAIL has selected M/s MECON Limited for assessment of the Fixed Asset Base of the distribution system of the licensed area, who, in turn, has agreed to take up the job. Apart from this, an in-house committee has also been constituted for preparation of separate Accounts of Electricity business in compliance of the directive of the Commission. It has further been stated that the licensee-SAIL has to comply with the directives of the Commission as given in the tariff order for FY 2010-11 and to get the Accounts audited for FY 2009-10 for submission along with the tariff petition for FY 2011-12. In view of this the licensee-SAIL has prayed for grant of time as the Commission may deem fit and proper.

From the show-cause it does not appear how much time will be required to file the tariff petition for FY 2011-12. The licensee-SAIL has prayed for time as the Commission may deem fit and proper. This stand

of the licensee-SAIL to leave the time frame with the Commission again reflects the dilly-dallying tactics on their part. They have not disclosed how much work has been completed so far and how much is to be done. Unless the Commission knows the magnitude of the remaining work, it is very difficult for it to give a time frame. The licensee-SAIL is the best person to give the time frame. However, since they have left it entirely to the Commission, in the given situation the Commission directs the licensee-SAIL, Bokaro to file their tariff petition for FY 2011-12 by 31st March 2011. The show-cause filed by them for violation of the directives of the Commission be kept pending till they file the tariff petition.

Put up on **01.04.2011** for further orders.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson