

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI.
Cont. (Civil) No. 608 of 2008

M/s Dayal Steels Ltd. ... Petitioner
- Versus -
The State of Jharkhand and Others ... Opposite Parties

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.G.R. PATNAIK.

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties :- J.C. to G.A.

8/17.03.2010 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits at the outset that during the pendency of this contempt application, the test reports of the meters having been received, the concerned authorities of the respondent DVC have taken a decision and the petitioner has been informed about the proposed action to be taken by the DVC.

As it appears from the admitted facts, the directions contained in the impugned order, appear to have been complied with by the respondents in as much as upon receipt of the tests reports, the respondent DVC has taken a decision for further course of action to be taken in the matter concerning dispute raised by the petitioner in the writ application and such decision has been intimated to the petitioner. The compliance of the directions contained in the impugned order is therefore deemed to have been made and there is no further reason for retaining the present contempt application on board. Accordingly, this contempt application is dropped. However, if the petitioner has any further grievance regarding the merit/propriety of the decision taken by the respondents, he would be at liberty to avail the remedy available under the law for the same.

Sd/- D.G.R. Patnaik, J.



Certified to be true Copy

[Signature]
17/7/10
Copying Officer

Designated under Rule 252 (II) of J.H.C. Rules
Authorized U.S. 77 Act of 1877

The 26th Ashadha, 1932