

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission  
Ranchi

Form of Proceedings  
Case No. 26 of 2025

Tata Steel Limited (TSL) ..... Petitioner  
Versus

Damodar Valley Corporation ..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVNEET KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE MR. MAHENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (LAW)  
HON'BLE MR. ATUL KUMAR, MEMBER (TECH)**

For the Petitioner : Mr. P.A.S. Pati, Advocate

For the Respondent : Ms. Khushboo Kataruka and Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Advocates

| Sl. No | Date of Proceeding | Proceeding of the Commission with signature                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Office action taken with date |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1      | 2                  | 3                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 4                             |
| 05     | 14.10.2025         | <p>Mr. P.A.S. Pati, learned counsel appeared for the Petitioner-TSL and Ms. Khushboo Kataruka, learned counsel appeared for the Respondent-DVC.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Respondent- DVC fairly submitted that para 8, 11 &amp;13 of the affidavit may be ignored.</p> <p>Further, both parties jointly prayed as detailed in para 15 of the aforesaid affidavit of the Respondent that the “Appointed date” may be ordered as the first day of the calendar month subsequent to the month on which the PPA is approved by this Commission.</p> <p>Heard the parties at length.</p> <p>Accordingly, order is hereby reserved.</p> <div style="text-align: center;"> <span>Sd/-<br/>Member (T)</span> <span>Sd/-<br/>Member (L)</span> <span>Sd/-<br/>Chairperson</span> </div> |                               |