

Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 22 of 2025

State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC)Petitioner
Versus

Tenughat Vidyut Nigam Limited & Ors Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVNEET KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. MAHENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (LAW)**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Shailesh Prakash, DGM (Operation)
– SLDC, Mr. Rimil Topno, Sr. Mnager.

For the Respondent: Mr. Shri Ram Singh, ESE TVNL – Res. 1,
Mrs. Anita Prasad, DGM(T&RM)- Res. 3,
Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Samal, Dy. CEE/TRD/HQSER, Mr. Pankaj
Kumar Mahto, SSE/TRD/HTE, Mr. Aviral Kumar, JE/D&D/SER-
Res.4,
Mr. Nitesh Pandey, Head PR, Mr. Jay Shankar Jha, Legal – Res 7,
Mr. N.K. Patodia, Assistant Vice President, Mr. Tanmay Sinha, G.M. –
Res. 8,
Mr. Rana Randhir, CGM (CA) – Res. 10,
Mr. M.P. Singh – G.M. Electrical – Res. 11
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SM. – Res. 12.

Sl. No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
6	21.04.2026	The learned counsel, Mr. Rajesh Kumar, appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. Shri Ram Singh appeared on behalf of Respondent no.1, Mrs. Anita Prasad, DGM(T&RM) appeared on behalf of Respondent no. 3, Mr. Sanjaya Kumar Samal, Dy. CEE/TRD/HQSER, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Mahto, SSE/TRD/THE & Mr. Aviral Kumar, JE/D&D/SER- appeared on behalf of Respondent no . 4, Mr. Nitesh Pandey, Head PR & Mr. Jay Shankar Jha, Legal appeared on behalf of Respondent no. 7, Mr. N.K. Patodia, Assistant Vice President & Mr. Tanmay Sinha, G.M. appeared on behalf of	

Respondent no. 8, Mr. RanaRandhir, CGM (CA) appeared on behalf of Respondent no. 10, Mr. M.P. Singh – G.M. Electrical appeared on behalf of Respondent no. 11 and Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SM. appeared on behalf of Respondent no. 12.

None appeared on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2, 5, 6 and 9.

Mr. N.K. Patodia, appearing on behalf of Respondent no. 8 filed reply and submitted that the copy of the petition has not been supplied to them. Mr. Jay Shankar Jha appearing on behalf of Respondent no. 7 prayed for adjournment for filing counter affidavit and submitted that the copy of the petition is also not supplied to them.

Heard.

The learned counsel for the Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the petition to all parties, to enable them to file counter affidavit in this case. For giving one more opportunity to being heard, the Petitioner is also directed to serve a fresh notice to the non-appearing parties i.e. Respondent no. 2, 5, 6 and 9

With the consent of the parties, office to list this case on 12.06.2026 at 02:00PM.

Sd/-
Member (L)

Sd/-
Chairperson