

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission

Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 13 of 2020

M/s Tata Power Company Limited (TPCL) Petitioner
Vs.
Tata Steel Limited (TSL) Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI R.N. SINGH, MEMBER (ENGINEERING)
HON'BLE SHRI P.K. SINGH, MEMBER (LEGAL)**

For the petitioner : Ms. Richa Sanchita, Advocate and Mr. Pankaj Prakash-
Representative-TPCL
For the Respondent : Shri Biswajeet Kumar – Representative of TSL

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
5	09.09.2020	<p>Case called out.</p> <p>Learned counsels for the petitioner and the representative of the Respondents appeared for virtual hearing.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Petitioner filed rejoinder to the counter filed by the Respondent duly served to the Respondent.</p> <p>The representative of the Respondent filed reply to the above rejoinder after serving a copy to the Petitioner.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Petitioner argued in this case and submitted that this case has been filed for grant of approval of the capital cost (Hard cost discovered through open competitive bidding plus ancillary/associated expenditure) required to be incurred towards installation and commissioning of FGD system for Jojobera Unit 2 & 3 and also the impact of FGD system on operational parameters viz, Auxiliary Power Consumption of the Generating Units alongwith projected recurring expenditure necessary for continuous operations and maintenance of the FGD system post its commissioning. Learned Counsel further prayed that the depreciation of the FGD system is to be spread in the balance PPA life/plant life of the Generating Units.</p> <p>The representative of the Respondent submitted that petitioner is under statutory obligation to install FGD till the</p>	

		<p>year 2022 to continue with the operation of the plant but for the recovery of the FGD installation cost expenditure, it should not be limited to the PPA life/Plant life as according to the data available with the Central Electricity Authority, several generating thermal plants are still generating Electricity even after 50 years of service.</p> <p>Further, it was pointed out during the course of hearing that in the present case of the petitioner, the cost impact of additional capital expenditure for FGD installation and its impact at least be spread over for the FGD unit's life.</p> <p>The petitioner is directed to submit its response with regard to the above said proposal of spreading over the cost implication of additional capital expenditure for FGD installation and its impact at least for the FGD unit's life.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the petitioner prayed for one week time to file its reply in the above said proposal.</p> <p>The representative of the Respondent has no objection in the prayer for time.</p> <p>Prayer for time to file reply is allowed.</p> <p>Put up on 16.09.2020 at 2.30 pm for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member (L)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Member (E)</p>	
--	--	---	--