

# Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission Ranchi

## Form of Proceedings

**Case No. 22 of 2019**

Neo Industries Metal Processing Pvt. Ltd. .... .. ...Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited & Ors. .... .. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI R.N.SINGH, MEMBER (ENGINEERING)  
HON'BLE SHRI P.K.SINGH, MEMBER (LEGAL)**


For the Petitioner : Mr. Saket Upadhaya, Advocate Mr. Mohit Singhal and  
Mr. Santosh Ananda-Representatives


For the Respondent : Mr. Navin Kumar and Mr. Amitabh, Mr. Dheeraj Kumar  
Advocates

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
8	22.07.2020	<p>Case called out.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for both the parties appeared for virtual hearing.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Respondent submitted that due to COVID-19 pandemic, the statement of facts in this case could not be obtained from the Respondent-Company.</p> <p>On the above ground, Learned Counsel for the Respondent prays for one week time to file counter affidavit in this case.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the Petitioner has no objection in the prayer for time.</p> <p>Prayer for time is allowed.</p> <p>In the mean time the Petitioner is directed to file</p>	

supplementary affidavit detailing the date of Energization of the Connection, load applied at the time of connection, load assessment report at the time of taking connection, report regarding re-assessment of load (if any), location of bay from which the connection has been obtained, information stating the cost borne by whom for construction of the infrastructure for getting the connection etc.

List this case on 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2020 at 2.30 pm under heading admission.

  
Member (L)

  
Member (E)