

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 21 of 2018

Tata Power Company Limited Petitioner
Versus
Tata Steel Limited (TSL) Respondent
For the petitioner : Mr Venkatesh, Advocate,
Mr. Pankaj Prakash, Representatives
For the Respondent (TSL): Mr. Manish Mishra, Advocate

| Sl. No | Date of Proceeding | Proceeding of the Commission with signature | Office action taken with date |
|--------|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 04 | 06.11.2018 | <p>Learned counsel for the respondent prays for further three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in the meantime, they should be given permission to go ahead for tendering for installation of FGD as per corporate policy for open Tendering/Competitive bidding.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection in granting permission for going ahead with the tendering subject to final decision by the Commission in this case. He further submits that the petitioner needs to explore all avenues for financing to lower the cost of installation of FGD. In particular, he mentioned about Green Financing.</p> <p>We see no difficulty in allowing the petitioner to go ahead for tendering subject to the decisions in this case. Well before the next date, the respondent would file the reply with a copy to the petitioner. The petitioner would also submit the details of financing, if not already done.</p> <p>Put up on 27.11.2018 at 2.30 PM.</p> <p>Sd/- Member (E)</p> <p>Sd/- Chairperson</p> | |