

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission

Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 08 of 2018

Inland Power Limited (IPL) Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited Respondent

For the petitioner : Mr Nitin Pasari, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Mr Amitabh and
Mr. Amit Sinha, Advocates

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
09	30.10.2018	<p>Learned counsel for the respondents filed a copy of the order dated 10.9.2018 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No. 2217 of 2018 clarifying that there is no ambiguity in the judgement and till such time a reconstitution of the Tribunal does not take place arising from a retirement of a Member from the legal field the existing Tribunal will decide all the cases. In view of this the learned counsel for the respondents submits that the Commission may proceed to hear the case in absence of Member from legal field.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner filed wakalatnama and rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays four weeks time to go through it and make submissions.</p> <p>Prayer is allowed.</p>	

It is seen that the reply of the petitioner dated 29.10.2018 and the reply of the respondents dated 6.7.2018 are in letter form and not on affidavit. Both the parties are, therefore, directed to file their replies on affidavit on or before the next date.

Put up on 27.11.2018 at 2.30 PM.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson