

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission

Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 12 of 2017

Adhunik Power & Natural Resources Ltd. Petitioner

Vrs.

Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (JBVNL) Respondents

For the petitioner : Mr Parinay D. Shah, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Amitabh, Advocate

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
10	05.12.2018	<p>Learned counsel for the petitioner filed rejoinder to the reply filed on behalf of the respondent today before the Bench and served copy to the respondent.</p> <p>The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 to initiate a proceeding against the respondent for non-compliance of the order dated 21.12.2016 passed by the Commission in Case No. 13 of 2014 in which it was held that apart from Transmission loss, Injection loss is also a component of transmission process and therefore, the respondent has to bear the transmission loss including the injection loss and further directed the respondent to pay and keep on paying for injection loss till any further order is passed by the Commission.</p> <p>The respondent has filed an affidavit in which it has been mentioned that they have partly complied the order and are paying to the petitioner the transmission charges including injection loss and charges and the balance injection loss charges under scrutiny and verification.</p>	

The second direction was regarding cost benefit analysis of DTS to commission. For this the respondents have stated that a draft report of cost benefit analysis has been submitted to JUSNL for technical scrutiny and further process.

We find that the Commission has passed order dated 21.12.2016 and almost two years have passed, the plea of the respondents that it is still under process is not tenable. The respondent is directed to comply the order dated 21.12.2016 in all respect and submit a report by next date.

Put up on 09.01.2019 at 2.30 PM for further hearing on the point as to why the proceeding under Section 142 of Electricity Act 2003 should not be initiated against the respondents for non-compliance of the order.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson