

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 10 of 2016

M/s Damodar Valley Corporation & Anr. Versus
Union of India & another Respondents

For the petitioner : Mr. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri, Advocate
Mr. Amit Sinha and Mr. Amitabh, Advocates

For M/s Electro Steel Ltd.: None
For M/s Shivam Iron : Mr. N.K. Pasari & Ms Ranjana Mukherjee, Advocates
For Association of DVC HT Consumers of Jharkhand : Mr. N.K.Pasari, Advocate

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
11	04.10.2017	<p>Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>On perusal of record we find that the DVC as well as JBVNL were directed to deliberate and suggest mutually acceptable and workable methodology for consideration of the Commission and for further order.</p> <p>We find that no concrete suggestion has been brought by the petitioner. Before proceeding further, the Commission would like the petitioners - DVC as well as JBVNL to come with concrete mutually acceptable and workable methodology for consideration of the Commission. After going through the proposal, the Commission, if so required, may consider to refer the matter for expert opinion or the opinion of the consultant.</p>	

		<p>Learned counsel pray for eight weeks time for coming with the proposal.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Prayer is allowed.

Put up on 09.01.2018 at 2.30 PM.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson