

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi

Form of Proceedings

Case No. 10 of 2016

M/s Damodar Valley Corporation & Anr. Petitioners
Versus
Union of India & another Respondents

For the petitioner : Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Additional Solicitor General of India,
Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate & Sr. Standing Counsel, Mr.
Alok Kumar, Mr. Sakya Singha Choudhary, Mr. Avijeet
Lala, Mr. Navin Kumar, Mr. Amit Sinha, Advocates

For M/s Electro Steel Ltd.: Mr. Sudarshan Shrivastava, Advocate
For M/s Shivam Iron : Mr. Nitin K. Pasari, Advocate

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
06	09.03.2017	<p>Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner – JBVNL submitted that they are yet to take expert advice though they have come with some proposal.</p> <p>Mr. P.S. Narasimha, learned Additional Solicitor General of India appearing on behalf of DVC submitted that the expert opinion may be sought from the consultant appointed by the Commission itself.</p> <p>On perusal of record we find that the DVC as well as JBVNL were directed to deliberate and suggest mutually acceptable and workable methodology for consideration of the Commission and for further order.</p> <p>We find that no concrete suggestion has been brought by the petitioner. Before proceeding further, the Commission would like the petitioners - DVC as well as</p>	

	<p>JBVNL to come with concrete mutually acceptable and workable methodology for consideration of the Commission. After going through the proposal, the Commission, if so required, may refer the matter for expert opinion or the opinion of the consultant.</p> <p>Learned counsel pray for five weeks time for coming with the proposal.</p> <p>Prayer is allowed.</p> <p>Put up on 20.04.2017 at 2.30 PM.</p> <p>Sd/- Member (E)</p> <p>Sd/- Chairperson</p>	
--	---	--