

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi
Form of Proceedings
Case No. 26 of 2015.

Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd. (JUVNL) Petitioner

Versus

M/s Inland Power Ltd. and others Respondents.

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
06.	03.03.2016.	<p>For the Petitioner : M/s. Ajit Kumar, Navin Kumar and Amit Sinha, Advocate.</p> <p>For the Respondents: None.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p> <p>It has been informed that the petitioner has preferred an appeal, against the order sought to be reviewed, before the Hon'ble APTEL.</p> <p>Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that though appeal has been preferred specific prayer regarding the part of the order sought to be reviewed in this petition has not been made in the appeal. Review petition is confined to a part of the impugned order and the same can be heard and disposed of to that extent.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, we are of the view that it will not be proper to allow two parallel proceedings challenging the same order in piecemeal before two different fora.</p>	

If the petitioner has not made any such prayer in the appeal, he is at liberty to seek leave and add grounds as well as appropriate prayer in the appeal.

With the above observation, this case is disposed of.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson