

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi
Form of Proceedings
Case No. 08 of 2015.

Sri Ram Steels Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited and others Respondents.

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
09.	30.07.2015.	<p>For the Petitioner : None For the Respondents: Mr. Navin Kumar, Advocate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p> <p>The respondents have filed an application seeking further time for four weeks for compliance of the order. Earlier prayers were made for time, each on different grounds by the respondents.</p> <p>In the instant application, the respondent-Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited has sought time for compliance of the order dated 28.05.2015 passed by the Commission and for affording them proper opportunity to the respondents to pursue the appeal filed before the Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi. The two grounds are inconsistent.</p> <p>Earlier in its order dated 31.03.2015, the Commission had taken note that one week time was granted to the respondents to locate the concerned officials who are responsible for non-compliance of the order dated</p>	

2.

09.05.2014 and had clearly mentioned that no further time will be allowed to the respondents. However, in spite of getting several adjournments, on one ground or the other, the respondents have not complied with the order passed by the CGRF dated 18th March, 2008 as well as the orders of the Commission dated 09.05.2014 and 19.3.2015.

The respondents have, instead, again filed petition for time on the ground that the appeal has been filed against the said order in the APTEL.

On enquiry from the counsel, it was stated that time barred appeal against the order dated 09.05.2014 has been filed before the APTEL i.e. much after filing of the complaint case in 2015. That apparently shows the attitude of the respondents.

It appears from the records of the case that the Chief Engineer (Commercial & Revenue) is responsible for complying with the order of the CGRF dated 18th March, 2008. The respondents have not disclosed the names of the officers posted as the Chief Engineer (Commercial & Revenue) since 18th March, 2008 till date.

The respondents are directed to furnish name of the officers who held/has been holding the office of the Chief Engineer (Commercial & Revenue) since 18th March, 2008 so that appropriate proceeding be initiated against all the liable persons in office at the relevant time(s).

It is made clear that if the names are not disclosed,

		<p style="text-align: center;">3.</p> <p>appropriate proceeding will be initiated against the authorities who are accountable for the affairs of the Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Put up this case on 17th August, 2015.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member (F)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Chairperson</p>	
--	--	--	--