

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission, Ranchi Form of Proceedings

Case No. 25 of 2014

M/s Usha Martin Limited Petitioner

Versus

Jharkhand Urja Vikash Nigam Limited (JUVNL) & Ors Respondents

For the petitioner : Mr K Venugopal and Mr M.S. Mittal, Sr. Advocates
Mr. Vimal Kirti Singh, Mr. Sidhartha Singh and
Ms Priyanka Singh, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. R.P. Bhatt, Sr. Advocate, Mr. Amit Sinha and
Mr. Amitabh, Advocate

Sl.No	Date of Proceeding	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
46	31.07.2018	<p>Learned senior counsel for the respondents, Mr. R.P. Bhatt, brought on record the judgement dated 12.4.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 14697 of 2015 reported in (2018) 6 SCC 21. He submits that para 116 read with para 125.3 of the said judgement makes it mandatory for a presence of the judicial member in the Commission for any adjudicatory function such as a dispute under Section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act 2003.</p> <p>In that view of the matter, learned senior counsel for the respondents, Mr. R.P. Bhatt, prays for time for consultation with his client to make submission about the continuation of the proceeding in absence of judicial member in the Commission.</p>	

Mr. K. Venugopal, senior counsel for the petitioner has no objection.

Considering the above, put up on 11.8.2018 at 11.30 A.M for further hearing on the issue.

Till then the interim order dated 8.1.2015 shall continue.

Sd/-
Member (E)

Sd/-
Chairperson