Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission Ranchi Form of Proceedings

Case No. 10 of 2014

M/s Tata Steel Limited (TSL)

..... Petitioner

Sl.No	Date of	Proceeding of the Commission with signature	Office
	Proceeding		action
			taken with date
1	2	3	4
07	10.11.2014	5	
	1001102011	Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, learned counsel appearing on	
		behalf of the petitioner has submitted that in compliance	
		of the order dated 20.10.2014 an affidavit has been filed	
		today. A copy of the same has been served on the counsel	
		of the Commission.	
		The learned counsel for the petitioner has also	
		submitted that in the Hon'ble APTEL it has been	
		disclosed that a review petition in the Commission has	
		been filed and the facts relating to filing of an appeal	
		before the Hon'ble APTEL has never been suppressed. It	
		was not the intention of the petitioner to suppress the	
		facts. As a matter of fact, the review petition against the	
		impugned order was filed earlier and the appeal was filed	
		later on. Since some petition was earlier moved by the	
		representative of the petitioner and no lawyer was	
		engaged, this was not disclosed at the first occasion	
		before the Commission. The learned counsel has	

prayed for some time to advance argument on merit			
the case.			
Mr. Suc	larshan Shrivastav	a, learned counsel	
appearing on	behalf of the Com	mission, after going	
through the	affidavit has not	disputed the said	
contention of th	ne learned counsel fo	or the petitioner. Mr.	
Shrivastava ha	s also not opposed t	he said prayer made	
by the learned counsel for the petitioner for			
for further hear	ing.		
Put up	on 17.12.2014 at 2	2.30 PM for further	
hearing.			
Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-	
Member (F)	Member (E)	Chairperson	