

**Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission  
Ranchi**

**FORM OF PROCEEDING**

**Case No. 20 of 2012**

M/s Khalari Cements Limited..... Petitioner

Vrs.

Damodar Valley Corporation (DVC)..... Respondents

<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Date of proceeding</b>	<b>Proceedings of the Commission with signature</b>	<b>Office action taken with date</b>
1	2	3	4
21	13.03.2014	<p style="text-align: center;">Shri Nitin Pasari, Advocate, and Ms.Ranjana Mukherjee, Advocate are present for the petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Advocate, is present for the respondent.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The petitioner's Advocate stated that DVC had collected the entire estimated amount required for construction (under DVC supervision) of line to the petitioner company's premises in advance. Since the petitioner company withdrew its application for power, it did not, in fact, carry out construction of this line and hence there was no supervision of this work by DVC. As such the entire cost recovered in advance by DVC for construction and supervision becomes refundable, after deduction of cost of survey.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The respondent Advocate stated that he needs to seek instruction from the DVC in this regard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Time granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Post this case on 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2014 at 4.30 PM.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member (Engg.)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Member (Fin)</p>	