

**JHARKHAND STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
RANCHI**

**FORM OF PROCEEDINGS**

Case No. 26 of 2011

Prakash Tekriwal & others ..... Petitioners

Versus

Jharkhand State Electricity Board ..... Respondent

Sl. No.	Date of proceeding	Proceedings of the Commission with signature	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
4.	15.10.2011	<p>Shri Ramesh Sahu and Smt. Hemlata Oraon appeared on behalf of the petitioners. S/Shri Alok Sharan, Member (Finance), S.C. Mishra, Chief Engineer (C&amp;R), Gaya Pd. Shukla, Chief Engineer, WNK Horo, Chief Engineer/S&amp;D, R.J. Singh, Elec. Superintending Engineer, Sanjay Kumar, Electrical Executive Engineer, Ranchi Central appeared on behalf of the licensee-JSEB.</p> <p>The licensee-JSEB has furnished information regarding replacement/repairs of defective Transformers. As per the information given by the licensee-JSEB, they have replaced 701 Transformers in the six area Board offices from March 2011 to August 2011 whereas the total no. of failed Transformers is 1372. It means 671 Transformers are yet to be replaced/repared. The licensee-JSEB was asked to give a time frame by which they would replace/repair these failed Transformers. The licensee-JSEB sought some time to work out a time frame and submit to the Commission.</p> <p>The Commission enquired as to how many Transformers are reported to repairing centres and against that what is the capacity of these centres. The officers of the Ranchi area, who were present, informed that on an average basis 6-7 failed Transformers are reported daily whereas only 2-3 are</p>	

repaired. There is obviously shortfall in repairing the failed Transformers. The Commission feels that unless adequate arrangements to repair the failed Transformers are made it will be difficult to replace the defective Transformers within the time frame given in the JSERC (Distribution Licensees' Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2005. Needless to emphasize that the Standard of Performances are mandatory for all the licensees including the licensee-JSEB to be observed otherwise compensation provisions are attracted.

On the point of payment of 75% of dues, prior to the replacement of defective transformers, it was informed by the officers of the licensee-JSEB that they were asking for payment of 75% dues from the consumers serviced by the defective Transformers on the basis of BSEB's earlier circulars. However, during the course of hearing the officers of the licensee-JSEB informed that the JSEB withdraws this plea of theirs. The Commission wants to make it very clear as already been stated in its order dated 25.3.2011 passed in Case No.01 of 2011 (M/s Kohinoor Steel Private Limited Vrs. JSEB & others) that after coming into force the Electricity Act 2003 and JSERC (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2005, the BSEB's orders/circulars/Regulations/Code do not have any legal authority in Jharkhand and the licensee-JSEB cannot use them in defence of their actions.

On the point of capacity of transformers placed in the areas, to be sufficient to cater the load of consumers under that particular transformer, it is stated that most of the transformers have sufficient capacity and wherever it is not sufficient, efforts

are being made to install an additional transformer. This is a vague and evasive reply. The Commission asked the information which are the areas where existing transformer is already over-loaded. This is needed because so many transformers are failing and one of the main reasons is over-loading of such transformers. The license-JSEB is again directed to furnish the required informations by the next date.

Post this case on **05.11.2011** at **11.30 AM** for further hearing.

Sd/-  
Member (E)

Sd/-  
Chairperson